arXiv:1403.7319v1 [math.GT] 28 Mar 2014

VOLUME AND HOMOLOGY GROWTH OF ASPHERICAL MANIFOLDS

ROMAN SAUER

Abstract. 1) We provide upper bounds on the size of the homology of a closed aspherical Riemannian manifold that only depend on the systole and the volume of balls. 2) We show that linear growth of mod p Betti numbers or exponential growth of torsion homology imply that a closed aspherical manifold is "large".

1. Introduction and statement of results

1.1. **Introduction.** Let M be a manifold whose fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ is *residually finite*. That is, Γ possesses a decreasing sequence – called a *residual chain* – of normal subgroups $\Gamma_i < \Gamma$ of finite index whose intersection is trivial. By covering theory there is an associated sequence of finite regular coverings $\ldots \to M_2 \to M_1 \to M$ of M with $\pi_1(M_i) = \Gamma_i$, which we call a *residual tower of finite coverings*.

How does the size of the homology of M_i grow as $i \to \infty$?

This question turns out to be relevant in diverse areas of research such as group theory [1, 15, 16] and number theory [3, 6]. What do we mean by size? If we measure size by Betti numbers $b_k(M_i) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_k(M_i;\mathbb{Z})$, there is a general answer: the limit of $b_k(M_i)/[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$ is the k-th ℓ^2-Betti number of M by a result of Lück [18]. If we measure size by mod p Betti numbers or in terms of the cardinality of the torsion subgroups $\mathrm{tors}\, H_k(M_i;\mathbb{Z}) \subset H_k(M_i;\mathbb{Z})$, no general answer is available.

We shall consider throughout this paper the case that M is a closed *aspherical* manifold, that is, its universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ is contractible. The manifold M is aspherical if and only if M is a model for the classifying space of its fundamental group Γ. In particular, homology of M_i and group homology of Γ_i coincide, and we often choose the group-theoretic terminology (see the beginning of Section 3).

Our aim is to establish upper bounds for the homology and the homology growth of aspherical manifolds. Our gap theorem (Theorem 1.7) shows that the volume of a closed aspherical Riemannian manifold whose growth of torsion homology is exponential and whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below by -1 is greater than a positive universal constant. This establishes a link between the homology growth of aspherical manifolds and largeness of Riemannian manifolds in the sense of Gromov. Rather than being a precise notion, *largeness* stands here for a variety of phenomena in Riemannian geometry [12]. For instance, we would regard an aspherical manifold as large if it has non-zero minimal volume.

The most important examples of aspherical manifolds are locally symmetric spaces of non-compact type. Bergeron and Venkatesh [3] develop a detailed, yet largely conjectural, picture for the (torsion) homology growth of arithmetic locally symmetric spaces of non-compact

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C23; Secondary 20F69, 57N65.
Key words and phrases. Homology growth, aspherical manifolds, residually finite groups.
The author gratefully acknowledges support by the DFG grant 1661/3-1.

type. By relating the growth of the torsion homology of M_i to an analytical object, the analytic ℓ^2-torsion, they are able to compute precisely the growth of torsion homology for quite special, non-constant coefficient systems; here is a concrete example.

Example 1.1 ([3, Section 8.2])**.** Let B be a quaternion division algebra over an imaginary quadratic field, and $\mathcal{O}_B$ a maximal order. Then $\Gamma := \mathcal{O}_B^\times$ embeds into $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and the quotient $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ is a closed hyperbolic manifold with fundamental group Γ. Let (Γ_i) be a residual chain of Γ. Let W be the submodule of trace zero elements in $\mathcal{O}_B$ endowed with the conjugation action by Γ. By Weil's local rigidity $H_1(\Gamma_i; W)$ is a torsion group for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\log|H_1(\Gamma_i; W)|}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} = \frac{13}{6\pi}\,\mathrm{vol}(M).$$

1.2. **Statement of results.** The work of Bergeron-Venkatesh motivates us to formulate our results in the generality of arbitrary finite-dimensional coefficient systems, rather than just constant ones.

Remark 1.2. Let V be a module over the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$. By the universal coefficient theorem,

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}\, H_k\big(\Gamma; V\big) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k\big(\Gamma; V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p\big),$$

In our theorems we will state upper bounds for the $\mathbb{F}_p$-dimension of $H_k(\Gamma_i; W)$ for a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module W as $i \to \infty$. The inequality above makes the explicit statement of the corresponding upper bounds for the $\mathbb{Z}$-rank of $H_k(\Gamma_i; V)$ for a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma_i]$-module V unnecessary.

The *systole* of a Riemannian manifold is the minimal length of a non-contractible loop. The first result, which is based on the remarkable work of Guth [13], is for the homology of one manifold at a time but has an immediate consequence for the homology growth (Corollary 1.5).

Theorem 1.3. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $V_0 > 0$ there exists a constant $\mathrm{const}(n, V_0) > 0$ with the following property:*

Let M be an n-dimensional closed aspherical Riemannian manifold such that every 1-ball of M has volume at most V_0 and the systole of M is at least 1. Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$.

(1) Let p be a prime, and let V be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) < \infty$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Gamma; V) < C(n, V_0)\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V)\,\mathrm{vol}(M).$$

(2) Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated and free. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\log\big(|\,\mathrm{tors}\, H_k(\Gamma; V)|\big) < C(n, V_0)\,\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V)\,\mathrm{vol}(M).$$

Remark 1.4. One should compare Theorem 1.3 to a theorem of Gromov [2] which says that all Betti numbers of a real-analytic, closed or finite volume Riemannian manifold M whose sectional curvature is between -1 and 0 are bounded by $C(n)\,\mathrm{vol}(M)$. Gromov's theorem can be strenghtened in the case of non-compact arithmetic locally symmetric manifolds: Gelander proved that these are homotopy equivalent to a simplicial complex whose size is bounded linearly by the volume [9]. As observed by Emery [8], Gelander's result yields a bound for the torsion homology as in Theorem 1.3 for non-compact arithmetic locally symmetric manifolds; this can be generalized to certain arithmetic orbifolds [8, 20]. Lehmers conjecture on Mahler measure of integral polynomials implies a lower bound on the systole of an arithmetic locallly symmetric space which only depends on the associated symmetric

space (cf. [9, § 10]). If Lehmer's conjecture were true, we would obtain from Theorem 1.3 – as Gelander would with his methods – corresponding results for compact arithmetic locally symmetric spaces.

Corollary 1.5. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V_0 > 0$ there is $C(n, V_0) > 0$ with the following property:*

Let M be an n-dimensional closed connected aspherical Riemannian manifold such that every 1-ball of the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ has volume at most V_0. Let (Γ_i) be a residual chain of its fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$.

(1) Let p be a prime, and let V be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) < \infty$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} < C(n, V_0) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) \operatorname{vol}(M).$$

(2) Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated and free. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{\log\big(|\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)|\big)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} < C(n, V_0) \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(V) \operatorname{vol}(M).$$

Proof of corollary. It is clear that the systole of $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash \widetilde{M}$ converges to ∞ as $i \to \infty$ in a residual tower of finite coverings. Note also that the maximal volume of a 1-ball of M coincides with the maximal volume of a 1-ball in the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ provided the systole is at least 1. Now apply Theorem 1.3. □

Remark 1.6. There is a natural tension between the volume on M and on $\widetilde{M}$ in the above statements: If one scales the metric of M by a factor < 1, then the volume of M decreases, but the curvature and so the volume of balls in the universal covering increase.

If the Ricci curvature is ≥ -1 (short for $\geq -g$ as quadratic forms), the volume of 1-balls in M and $\widetilde{M}$ is bounded from above by a positive constant only depending on the dimension according to the Bishop-Gromov inequality.

Our next result exhibits a gap phenomenon for the homology growth under a lower Ricci curvature bound.

Theorem 1.7. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a constant $\epsilon(n) > 0$ with the following property:*

Let M be a closed connected aspherical n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M such that $\operatorname{Ricci}(M) \geq -1$ and the volume of every 1-ball in M is at most $\epsilon(n)$. Let (Γ_i) be a residual chain of the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$.

(1) Let p be a prime, and let V be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) < \infty$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} = 0$$

(2) Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated and free. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\log\big(|\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)|\big)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} = 0.$$

Gromov showed [11, Section 3.4] that for every dimension n there is a constant $\epsilon(n) > 0$ with the following property: Every closed n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M such that

$\mathrm{Ricci}(M) \geq -1$ and the volume of every 1-ball in M is at most $\epsilon(n)$ can be covered by open, amenable sets with multiplicity $\leq n$.

Here a subset U of a topological space X is called *amenable* if the image of the map $\pi_1(U;x) \to \pi_1(X;x)$ on fundamental groups is amenable for any base point $x \in U$. Hence Theorem 1.7 is a direct consequence of the following topological result.

Theorem 1.8. *Let M be a closed connected aspherical n-dimensional manifold M. Assume that M is covered by open, amenable sets such that every point is contained in no more than n such subsets. Let (Γ_i) be a residual chain of the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$.*

(1) Let p be a prime, and let V be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) < \infty$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} = 0$$

(2) Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module whose underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated and free. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{\log\big(|\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma_i; V)|\big)}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} = 0.$$

Remark 1.9 (Relation to Gromov's vanishing theorem)**.** Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 remind of the *isolation theorem* and the *vanishing theorem* in Gromov's seminal work [11, 0.5. and 3.1.], which under the same assumptions conclude the vanishing of the simplicial volume. The formal deduction of Theorem 1.7 from Theorem 1.8 corresponds to Gromov's deduction of the isolation theorem from the vanishing theorem. Gromov's proof of the vanishing theorem is based on bounded cohomology and I do not see how to deduce something for the integral homology with this method. We develop a different approach in Section 5.

Remark 1.10. Vanishing results for the homology growth of aspherical spaces whose fundamental groups contain an infinite, normal, elementary amenable subgroup are proved in [17]. The methods there are completely different from ours. If a normal amenable subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ arises as the fundamental group of the fiber of a fiber bundle $F \to M \to N$ of closed aspherical manifolds, the assumptions in the previous theorem are satisfied for M according to [11, Corollaries (2) on p. 41].

Remark 1.11 (Consequences for ℓ^2-Betti numbers)**.** Lück's approximation theorem [18] and Remark 1.2 yield as a corollary of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 that all ℓ^2-Betti numbers of M vanish. This has been proved earlier result by the author [21]. Similarly, in Corollary 1.5 all ℓ^2-Betti numbers of M are bounded by $C(n, V_0)\,\mathrm{vol}(M)$.

1.3. **On the proofs.** As explained before, Theorem 1.7 is a consequence of Theorem 1.8. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.8 start by a reduction to the orientable case. If there was no 2-torsion in the homology groups in question, the reduction would be just an easy transfer argument. Of course, we do not want to assume that, so the reduction argument requires more care. This is done in Section 2. We may henceforth assume that all manifolds are oriented.

The broad theme of this paper is the relation between the homology growth on aspherical manifolds and volume. Since the volume of an oriented Riemannian manifold M is related to its homology through the volume form or its dual, the fundamental class, this suggests an important role of the fundamental class in our proofs. We first present an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Let (M_i) be a residual tower of finite coverings of the closed aspherical manifold M in question which is associated to a residual chain (Γ_i) of the fundamental group. The proof consists of two major steps.

(1) Bound the integral complexity of the fundamental class
$$[M_i] \in H_n(M_i;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(\Gamma_i;\mathbb{Z}).$$
By *integral complexity* we mean the minimal number $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the fundamental class is represented as a integral linear combination of l singular simplices.

(2) Bound the size of the homology (torsion and free part) of M_i, thus Γ_i, in arbitrary degrees in terms of the integral complexity of $[M_i]$.

The second step is dealt with in Section 3. The first step, on which we elaborate now, is done in Section 5. A central object of the proof is the profinite topological Γ-space

$$X := \varprojlim\big(\Gamma/\Gamma_0 \leftarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_1 \leftarrow \Gamma/\Gamma_2 \leftarrow \ldots\big). \tag{1.1}$$

The space X is also a compact topological group; we endow X with the normalized Haar measure μ. To consider the space X is motivated by the work of Abért and Nikolov [1] who relate the cost of the Γ-action on X to the rank gradient of (Γ_i). We take the cover on M by amenable subsets that figures in the assumption of Theorem 1.8 and produce from it – by dynamical considerations – a different, Γ-equivariant measurable cover on a different object, namely the space $X \times \widetilde{M}$ endowed with the diagonal Γ-action. Each set in this cover is a product of a measurable set in X and an open set in $\widetilde{M}$. We modify the measurable cover a little (Lemma 5.9) so that the measurable set in X is cylindrical with respect to the profinite topology.

Next we convert this measurable cover to a cover on the diagonal Γ-space $\Gamma/\Gamma_i \times \widetilde{M}$ for sufficiently large $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in a procedure that reminds of Furstenberg's *correspondence principle* – see (5.7) for the definition of associated cover on $\Gamma/\Gamma_i \times \widetilde{M}$. The nerve of the cover on $\Gamma/\Gamma_i \times \widetilde{M}$ is a Γ-space; we denote its orbit space, which is a Δ-complex, by $S(i)$. With an open cover comes a map from the space to the nerve; in our situation the nerve map is equivariant and we will study the induced map f on orbit spaces:

$$\Gamma\backslash\big(\Gamma/\Gamma_i \times \widetilde{M}\big) \cong \Gamma_i\backslash\widetilde{M} = \; M_i \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightleftarrows}} S(i)\ ,$$

From asphericity we conclude that there is a homotopy retract $g \circ f \simeq \mathrm{id}$. The construction of the measurable cover above has been set up in such a way that the number of n-simplices in $S(i)$ is of magnitude $o([\Gamma : \Gamma_i])$. Finally, the homotopy retract implies that the integral complexity of $[M_i]$ is $o([\Gamma : \Gamma_i])$, concluding the argument for the first step.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 also uses nerves of covers (albeit very different ones). It is much shorter than the proof of Theorem 1.8 since the assertion is a rather direct consequence of Sections 2 and 3 and the remarkable work of Guth [13].

2. Reduction to the orientable case

2.1. Estimates by subgroups of index 2.

Lemma 2.1. *If $0 \to A \to B \to C$ is an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups, then $|\operatorname{tors} B| \le |\operatorname{tors} A| \cdot |\operatorname{tors} C|$.*

Proof. The given exact sequence restricts to an exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{tors} A \to \operatorname{tors} B \to \operatorname{tors} C$$

of finite abelian groups from which the assertion follows. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $A \to B \to C$ is an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups and A is torsion, then $|\operatorname{tors} B| \leq |\operatorname{tors} A| \cdot |\operatorname{tors} C|$.*

Proof. Let f be the map from B to C. Then $0 \to A/\ker(f) \to B \to C$ is exact and $|\operatorname{tors} A| = |A| \geq |A/\ker(f)|$. Now apply the previous lemma. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let Γ be a group of type F_∞ and $\Lambda < \Gamma$ a subgroup of index 2. Let W be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module that is finitely generated free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\log |\operatorname{tors} H_n(\Gamma, W)| \leq \sum_{k \leq n} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_k(\Lambda; W) + 2^n \sum_{k \leq n} \log |\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Lambda; W)|.$$

Proof. The finiteness condition F_∞ ensures that all homology group to be considered are finitely generated. We consider the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the group extension $0 \to \Lambda \to \Gamma \to C_2 \to 0$, where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2:

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p\big(C_2; H_q(\Lambda; W)\big) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Gamma; W)$$

For $p > 0$ the group $E^2_{p,q}$ is torsion, hence also $E^\infty_{p,q}$. The convergence of the spectral sequence means that there is an increasing filtration $F^i H_k(\Gamma; W)$ of $H_k(\Gamma; W)$ with $F^k H_k(\Gamma; W) = H_k(\Gamma; W)$ and $E^\infty_{0,k} \cong F^0 H_k(\Gamma; W)$ and short exact sequences

$$0 \to F^i H_k(\Gamma; W) \to F^{i+1} H_k(\Gamma; W) \to E^\infty_{i+1,k-i-1} \to 0$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$. Let $N_j := H_j(\Lambda; W)$. It follows inductively from these short exact sequences and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\log |\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma; W)| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \log |\operatorname{tors} E^\infty_{i,k-i}| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \log |\operatorname{tors} H_i(C_2; N_{k-i})|. \tag{2.1}$$

The torsion submodule of N_j is a $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$-submodule of N_j. So there is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$-modules

$$0 \to \operatorname{tors} N_j \to N_j \to F_j \to 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where F_j is $\mathbb{Z}$-free. The $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$-module F_j decomposes as a direct sum of indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$-modules:

$$F_j \cong F_j^1 \oplus \ldots \oplus F_j^l$$

By a (much more general) result of Diederichsen and Reiner [7, Theorem (34.31) on p. 729] there are only three isomorphism types of indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$-modules which are finitely generated free as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules: the trivial module $\mathbb{Z}$, the module $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ with underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ on which the generator of C_2 acts by multiplication with -1 and $\mathbb{Z}[C_2]$. The idea to appeal to the Diederichsen-Reiner result was motivated by [8, Remark 1]. If $N \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{tw}}, \mathbb{Z}[C_2]\}$, then by direct computation $|\operatorname{tors} H_j(C_2; N)| \leq 2$. Since $l \leq \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_j)$, we obtain that

$$\log |\operatorname{tors} H_k(C_2; F_j)| \leq \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_j) \log(2) \leq \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_j).$$

The k-th chain group in the standard bar resolution computing $H_k(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j)$ is isomorphic to $(\operatorname{tors} N_j)^{2^k}$, which implies that

$$\log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j)| \leq 2^k \log|\operatorname{tors} N_j|.$$

The short exact sequence (2.2) induces a long exact sequence in group homology:

$$\dots H_{k+1}(C_2; F_j) \to H_k(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j) \to H_k(C_2; N_j) \to H_k(C_2; F_j) \to H_{k-1}(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j) \dots$$

Since $H_k(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j)$ is torsion for $k \geq 0$, Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(C_2; N_j)| &\leq \log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(C_2; \operatorname{tors} N_j)| + \log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(C_2; F_j)| \\ &\leq 2^k \log|\operatorname{tors} N_j| + \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_j)\end{aligned}$$

for $k \geq 0$. Combined with (2.1) this concludes the proof. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let Γ be a group of type F_∞ and $\Lambda < \Gamma$ a subgroup of index 2. Let W be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module that is finitely generated free as a $\mathbb{F}_p$-module. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_n(\Gamma, W) \leq 2^n \sum_{k \leq n} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Lambda; W).$$

Proof. The proof is easier than the one of the previous lemma. The Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_n(\Gamma; W) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(C_2; H_{n-i}(\Lambda; W)).$$

As the k-th chain group in the standard bar resolution computing $H_i(C_2; H_{n-i}(\Lambda; W))$ is isomorphic to a sum of 2^i copies of $H_{n-i}(\Lambda; W)$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_i(C_2; H_{n-i}(\Lambda; W)) \leq 2^i \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_{n-i}(\Lambda; W).$$ □

2.2. **Reduction of Theorems 1.3 and 1.8 to the orientable case.** Let us assume that Theorem 1.8 holds for the orientable case. Let M be a connected n-dimensional closed aspherical non-orientable manifold with fundamental group Γ. There is a unique connected 2-sheeted cover $\bar{M} \to M$ such that $\bar{M}$ is orientable. The fundamental group $\Gamma' = \pi_1(\bar{M})$ embeds into Γ as a subgroup of index 2. If (Γ_i) is a residual chain of Γ, then $(\Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i)$ is a residual chain of Γ'. Moreover, $\Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i$ is either equal to Γ_i or a subgroup of Γ_i of index 2.

Let us assume that M is covered by open, amenable subsets with multiplicity $\leq n$. Then their preimages cover $\bar{M}$ with multiplicity $\leq n$ and are amenable. Let W be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module that is finitely generated free as $\mathbb{Z}$-module. By restriction W is also a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma']$-module. By Theorem 1.8 applied to $\bar{M}$ and Remark 1.2 we have

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{[\Gamma' : \Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i]} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_k\big(\Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i; W\big) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{[\Gamma' : \Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i]} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma' \cap \Gamma_i; W)| = 0.$$

Thus Lemma 2.3 yields

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]} \log|\operatorname{tors} H_k(\Gamma_i; W)| = 0$$

for every k. Let V be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]$-module which is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{F}_p$-module. From (2.3) with W replaced by V and Lemma 2.4 we obtain that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{[\Gamma:\Gamma_i]} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_k(\Gamma_i; V) = 0.$$

The reduction for Theorem 1.3 via Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 is along similar lines.

3. Bounds by the fundamental class

3.1. **Equivariant homology.** Let Γ be a group acting topologically on a space Y, let V be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module. The group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ has an involution $\iota : \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \to \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ which is the linear extension of $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A left $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module V is converted into a right $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module via the involution (and vice versa): $v \cdot x := \iota(x) \cdot v$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ and $v \in V$.

The singular chain complex of Y will be denoted by $C_*(Y)$; it is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-chain complex. The *equivariant homology* of Y with coefficients in V is defined as

$$H_i^\Gamma(Y; V) := H_i\big(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_*(Y)\big).$$

The *equivariant cohomology* of Y with coefficients in V is defined as

$$H^i_\Gamma(Y; V) := H^i\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(Y, V)\big).$$

Throughout, we shall consider the situation where $Y = \widetilde{M}$ is the universal covering of a manifold M on which the fundamental group $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ acts by deck transformations. If M is aspherical, then singular chain complex $C_*(\widetilde{M})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-resolution of the trivial module $\mathbb{Z}$, hence we have a canonical isomorphism

$$H_*^\Gamma(\widetilde{M}; V) \cong H_*(\Gamma; V)$$

in this case. Similarly for cohomology.

3.2. **Two technical lemmas.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let D_* be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-chain complex consisting of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-modules. Let W be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module such that its underlying $\mathbb{Z}$-module is finitely generated free. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\operatorname{tors} H^k\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(D_*, W)\big) \cong \operatorname{tors} H_{k-1}\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*\big).$$

Proof. The chain map

$$\phi_* : \hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(D_*, W) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*, \mathbb{Z}\big),\ \phi_k(f)(g \otimes d) = g(f(d))$$

is an isomorphism. To verify this in degree k one may assume that $D_k = \mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$ in which case ϕ_k corresponds to the canonical isomorphism $W \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$. We apply the universal coefficient theorem to the $\mathbb{Z}$-chain complex $\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*$ which consists of finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$H^k\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*, \mathbb{Z})\big) \cong$$
$$\operatorname{Ext}^1\big(H_{k-1}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*), \mathbb{Z}\big) \oplus \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(H_k(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*), \mathbb{Z}\big).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tors} H^k\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*, \mathbb{Z})\big) &\cong \operatorname{tors} \operatorname{Ext}^1\big(H_{k-1}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*), \mathbb{Z}\big) \\ &\cong \operatorname{tors} H_{k-1}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*).\end{aligned}$$

The latter isomorphism is a consequence of basic properties of the Ext-functor [25, Section 3.3]. Since ϕ_* induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{tors} H^k\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(D_*, W)\big) \cong \operatorname{tors} H^k\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} D_*, \mathbb{Z})\big),$$

the assertion follows. □

The following lemma is due to Soulé [22, Lemma 1]. For convenience we provide an elementary proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and B be finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m$ be $\mathbb{Z}$-bases of A and B, respectively. We endow $B_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} B$ with the Hilbert space structure for which $b_1, \dots, b_m$ is a Hilbert basis. Let $f : A \to B$ be a homomorphism. Let $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ be a subset such that $\{f(a_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is a basis of $\operatorname{im}(f)_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then*

$$|\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(f)| \le \prod_{i \in I} \big\|f(a_i)\big\|.$$

Proof. For a submodule N of a $\mathbb{Z}$-module M we write $\overline{N} = \{x \in M \mid \exists_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\ kx \in N\}$. If M is finitely generated free, then $\overline{N}$ is a direct summand by the fundamental theorem of abelian groups. If M is a based finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then we always endow the complexification $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the Hilbert space structure for which the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis is a Hilbert basis. Let $g : N \to M$ be a homomorphism between based finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules of the same rank. If g is an isomorphism, then $\det(g_{\mathbb{C}}) = \pm 1$. If $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an isomorphism (equivalently, g is injective), then $|\det(g_{\mathbb{C}})| \ge 1$ and

$$|\operatorname{coker}(g)| = |\det(g_{\mathbb{C}})|. \tag{3.1}$$

This is well known and immediately follows from the fundamental theorem about abelian groups which says that there is $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of M such that integral multiples of these basis vectors form a basis of $\operatorname{im}(f)$.

Let $A_I \subset A$ be the submodule with $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$. Let $g : A_I \to \overline{\operatorname{im}(f)}$ now denote the restriction of f. By assumption, $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ is an isomorphism and so $\operatorname{coker}(g)$ is a torsion module, which surjects onto $\operatorname{coker}(A \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\operatorname{im}(f)})$. Since $\overline{\operatorname{im}(f)}$ is a direct summand of B we have

$$\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(f) = \operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(A \xrightarrow{f} \overline{\operatorname{im}(f)}),$$

and so

$$|\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(g)| \ge |\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(f)|.$$

We regard $\overline{\operatorname{im}(f)}$ as a based $\mathbb{Z}$-module by some choice of a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis. By the general statement (3.1) we have

$$|\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(g)| = |\det(g_{\mathbb{C}})|.$$

Let $j : \overline{\operatorname{im}(f)} \to B$ be the inclusion. Since $g_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $j^*_{\mathbb{C}} j_{\mathbb{C}}$ are isomorphisms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\det\big((j_{\mathbb{C}} g_{\mathbb{C}})^* (j_{\mathbb{C}} g_{\mathbb{C}})\big)| = |\det\big(g^*_{\mathbb{C}} g_{\mathbb{C}}\big) \det\big(j^*_{\mathbb{C}} j_{\mathbb{C}}\big)| &\ge |\det\big(g^*_{\mathbb{C}} g_{\mathbb{C}}\big)| \\ &= \det(g_{\mathbb{C}})^2 \\ &\ge |\operatorname{tors}\operatorname{coker}(f)|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Let $e := jg : A_I \to B$. Consider a QR-decomposition $e_{\mathbb{C}} = QR$, that is, $Q : (A_I)_{\mathbb{C}} \to B_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies $Q^*Q = \mathrm{id}$ and $R : (A_I)_{\mathbb{C}} \to (A_I)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is upper triangular with respect to the given bases. Then

$$\det(e^*_{\mathbb{C}} e_{\mathbb{C}}) = \det(R)^2 \leq \prod_{i\in I} \big\|R(a_i)\big\|^2 = \prod_{i\in I} \big\|QR(a_i)\big\|^2 = \prod_{i\in I} \big\|f(a_i)\big\|^2.$$

This in combination with (3.2) implies the lemma. □

3.3. **The torsion estimate.** This subsection is devoted to the proof of the following result. We would like to remark that in a recent preprint [4] the complexity of homology classes also appear in estimates for regulators for homology growth.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a closed n-dimensional oriented manifold with fundamental group Γ. Let W be a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-module that is finitely generated free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. If the fundamental class of M is represented by an integral cycle with k singular n-simplices, then*

$$\log\big(|\operatorname{tors} H_j^\Gamma(\widetilde{M}; W)|\big) \leq \log(n+1) \cdot \binom{n+1}{j+1} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W).$$

for every $j \geq 0$.

Throughout, let M and W be as in the theorem.

Definition 3.4. Suppose $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \sigma_i$ is an integral singular cycle representing the fundamental class $[M] \in H_n(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We define $C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M})$ as the subcomplex of the integral singular chain complex $C_*(\widetilde{M})$ that is generated, in degree j, by all j-dimensional faces of all possible lifts of the n-simplices $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ to the universal covering $\widetilde{M}$ of M.

Lemma 3.5. *Let τ be a representing cycle of the fundamental class of M. The restriction chain homomorphism*

$$\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*(\widetilde{M}), W) \to \hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}), W).$$

induces injective maps in cohomology in all degrees.

Proof. Let $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \sigma_i$. Choose lifts $\tilde\sigma_1, \dots, \tilde\sigma_k$ of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ to $\widetilde{M}$. The $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-chain homomorphism

$$_ \cap \tau : \hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_{n-*}(\widetilde{M}), W) \to W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_*(\widetilde{M})$$

$$\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_{n-l}(\widetilde{M}), W) \ni \phi \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \phi(\tilde\sigma_i \lfloor_{n-l}) \otimes \tilde\sigma_i \rfloor_l$$

given by the cap product with $\tau \in C_n(M) = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_n(\widetilde{M})$ is a homology isomorphism by equivariant Poincaré duality [24, Theorem 2.1 on p. 23]. Let $\psi \in \hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_j(\widetilde{M}), W)$ be a cocycle such that $[\psi]$ is in the kernel of the cohomology homomorphism induced by the restriction homomorphism. Upon subtracting a coboundary, we may assume that ψ vanishes on $C_j^\tau(\widetilde{M})$. By the formula above, $[\psi \cap \tau] = 0$. Hence $[\psi] = 0$, so injectivity follows. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \sigma_i$ be an integral singular cycle representing the fundamental class of M. A choice of Γ-representatives of all j-dimensional faces of lifts of the singular simplices $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ yields a $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-basis of $C_j^\tau(\widetilde{M})$. Via these bases we consider $C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M})$ as a based $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]$-chain complex. We also choose a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of W and regard

$\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z})$ as a based $\mathbb{Z}$-module via the dual basis of W. These choices of bases yield a natural $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_j^\tau(\widetilde{M})$.

For every based finitely generated free $\mathbb{Z}$-module we consider the Hilbert space structure on its complexfication for which the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis becomes a Hilbert basis. The norm of the image of every basis element under the differential

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes \partial_j : \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_j^\tau(\widetilde{M}) \to \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_{j-1}^\tau(\widetilde{M}),$$

is at most $(j+1)$.

Since an n-simplex has $\binom{n+1}{j}$-many $(j-1)$-dimensional faces, we have

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_{j-1}^\tau(\widetilde{M})\Big) \le \binom{n+1}{j} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W).$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}\Big(H_{j-1}\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M})\big)\Big) \le \binom{n+1}{j} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W).$$

By Lemma 3.2 we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\log\big|\mathrm{tors}\big(H_{j-1}\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(W,\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]} C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M})\big)\big|\big| &\le \log\big|\mathrm{tors}\big(\mathrm{coker}(\partial_j)\big)\big| \\ &\le \log(j+1)\binom{n+1}{j} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\log\big|\mathrm{tors}\, H^j\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(M),W)\big)\big| \le \log(j+1)\binom{n+1}{j} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W).$$

By Lemma 3.5 $H_\Gamma^j(\widetilde{M};W)$ embeds into $H^j\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}),W)\big)$. Hence $\mathrm{tors}\big(H_\Gamma^j(\widetilde{M};W)\big)$ embeds into $\mathrm{tors}\big(H^j\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}),W)\big)\big)$. Poincaré duality yields that

$$\log\Big(\mathrm{tors}\big(H_j^\Gamma(\widetilde{M};W)\big)\Big) = \log\Big(\mathrm{tors}\big(H_\Gamma^{n-j}(\widetilde{M};W)\big)\Big) \le \log(n+1) \cdot \binom{n+1}{j+1} \cdot k \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(W). \ \square$$

Remark 3.6. The norm of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \partial_j$ in the proof above might be larger than $(j+1)$ and is hard to control since many of the lower dimensional faces of the singular n-simplices of τ might coincide (this is different if τ comes from a triangulation). For the same reason we cannot estimate the norm of the images of the dual basis vectors in $\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(M),W)$.

3.4. **The rank estimate.** Now we turn to the corresponding result for Betti numbers, for which we do not need Subsection 3.2. It generalizes the case of trivial coefficients which was known before [10, p. 301 and p. 307; 18, Example 14.28].

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a closed n-dimensional oriented manifold. Let W be a $\mathbb{F}_p[\pi_1(M)]$-module with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W) < \infty$. If the fundamental class of M is represented by an integral cycle with k singular n-simplices, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\big(H_j^\Gamma(\widetilde{M};W)\big) \le \binom{n+1}{j} \cdot k \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W).$$

for every $j \ge 0$.

Proof. We retain the notation from the previous subsection. The estimate

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\Big(H^j\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}),W)\big)\le\binom{n+1}{j+1}\cdot k\cdot\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W)$$

holds since $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\big(\hom_{\mathbb{F}_p[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}),W)\big)$ is subjected to the same upper bound. The $\mathbb{F}_p$-analog of Lemma 3.5 holds by essentially the same proof. Hence $H^j_\Gamma(\widetilde{M};W)$ injects into $H^j\big(\hom_{\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma]}(C_*^\tau(\widetilde{M}),W)\big)$ and so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}\big(H^j_\Gamma(\widetilde{M};W)\big)\le\binom{n+1}{j+1}\cdot k\cdot\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(W).$$

The statement now follows from equivariant Poincaré duality. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

4.1. The proof is based on the following result, which is implicitly contained in Guth's paper [13]; a weaker version, where one replaces the bound on the volume of 1-balls by the stronger assumption $\mathrm{Ricci}(M)\ge -1$, can be extracted from Gromov's paper [11].

Theorem 4.1. *For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $V_0>0$ there is a constant $C(n,V_0)>0$ with the following property:*

If M is an n-dimensional connected oriented closed aspherical Riemannian manifold such that every 1-ball of M has volume at most V_0 and the systole of M is at least 1, then there is an integral cycle that represents the fundamental class of M and has at most $C(n,V_0)$ singular n-simplices.

The following result about the simplicial volume, that is, about the complexity of real-valued cycles representing the fundamental class, is explicitly contained in Guth's work.

Theorem 4.2 (Guth)**.** *For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $V_0>0$ there is a constant $C(n,V_0)>0$ with the following property:*

If M is an n-dimensional connected oriented closed aspherical Riemannian manifold such that every 1-ball of M has volume at most V_0 and the systole of M is at least 1, then the simplicial volume is at most $C(n,V_0)\,\mathrm{vol}(M)$.

Proof. Just combine Lemmas 7 and 9 in [13]. □

However, Guth's methods also show Theorem 4.1; we provide more explanations below. Let us deduce Theorem 1.3 first.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let M satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 1.3. According to Subsection 2.2 we may assume that M is oriented. Theorem 1.3 is now a consequence of Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 3.3 (for the statement about torsion) or Theorem 3.7 (for the statement about $\mathbb{F}_p$-dimension), respectively. □

4.2. First we explain how to deduce Theorem 4.1 from Gromov's work [11] provided M satisfies the lower Ricci curvature bound $\mathrm{Ricci}(M)\ge -1$. By the Bishop-Gromov inequality the lower Ricci curvature bound implies an upper bound on the volume of 1-balls only depending on the dimension n. One considers an open cover of M by 3-balls obtained from applying the Vitali covering lemma to an initial cover by 1-balls. By the Bishop-Gromov-inequality the multiplicity of the cover is bounded by a constant only depending on n. Gromov then shows [11, (D) Lemma on p. 52] that the nerve map f from M to

the corresponding nerve can be homotoped to a map that lands in the n-skeleton of the nerve and has a Lipschitz constant only depending on n. Thus the image of f hits at most $C(n)\operatorname{vol}(M)$ many n-simplices. By asphericity and the lower bound on the systole there is a map g from the nerve to M such that $g \circ f$ is homotopic to the identity [11, pp. 85-86]. This implies that the fundamental class of M can be represented by an integral linear combination of at most $C(n)\operatorname{vol}(M)$ singular n-simplices.

Guth manages to replace the lower Ricci curvature bound by an upper bound on the volume of 1-balls. Instead of a Vitali cover by 1-balls he considers a so-called *good cover*[1] in the sense of Gromov [13, Section 1] and introduces a modification of the usual nerve construction of a cover, which is particularly adapted to covers by balls with varying radii, called the *rectangular nerve* [13, Section 3]. A major difficulty is that the multiplicity of the cover cannot be bounded universally – only on a subset of large volume. Therefore the Lipschitz constant of the map f from M to the rectangular nerve might be very large on a subset of tiny volume. However, Guth is able to conclude that the n-volume of the $f(M)$ is bounded by $C(n)\operatorname{vol}(M)$ [13, Lemma 5] for some universal constant $C(n) > 0$. Rather than homotoping the map f down to the n-skeleton as before, Guth directly shows using the Federer-Fleming deformation method that the image of the fundamental class is homologous to a cycle in the n-skeleton whose number of singular n-simplices is bounded by $C'(n)\operatorname{vol}(M)$ for some universal constant $C'(n) > 0$ [13, Proof of Lemma 9]. Similarly as above, the map f has a left homotopy inverse [13, Lemma 7] and one concludes Theorem 4.1.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.8

5.1. **Setup.** Throughout Section 5 we shall consider a connected closed n-dimensional oriented aspherical manifold M and adhere to the following notation.

(1) $\mathcal{U}$ denotes a cover of M of multiplicity $\leq n$ by open, amenable subsets.
(2) $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a residual chain of the fundamental group $\Gamma := \pi_1(M)$.
(3) Let (X, μ) be the profinite topological group in (1.1) with the normalized Haar measure μ. Let $\pi_i : X \to \Gamma/\Gamma_i$ be the canonical projection.

The sets $\pi_i^{-1}(\gamma\Gamma_i)$ with $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ define a basis $\mathcal{O}$ of the topology of X. A subset of X is called *cylindrical* if it is a finite union of elements in $\mathcal{O}$. For every cylindrical set A there is a smallest number $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that A is the π_i-preimage of a subset of Γ/Γ_i. We call this number the *level* of A and denote it by $l(A)$. Note that for every $i \geq l(A)$

$$\pi_i^{-1}\big(\pi_i(A)\big) = A. \tag{5.1}$$

We will not use the topological group structure on X and only regard X as a Γ-space with a Γ-invariant probability measure μ.

5.2. **Constructing measurable covers.** The topological space $X \times \widetilde{M}$ is endowed with the diagonal Γ-action. We say that a Γ-invariant collection $\mathcal{V}$ of subsets of $X \times \widetilde{M}$ is a Γ-*equivariant measurable cover* if the following conditions are satisfied.

(1) An element of $\mathcal{V}$ is a product of a measurable subset of X and an open subset of $\widetilde{M}$.
(2) The union of elements of $\mathcal{V}$ is $X \times \widetilde{M}$.
(3) The Γ-set $\mathcal{V}$ has only finitely many Γ-orbits.

[1]We warn the reader that there is also a different notion of *good cover* in use where it is required that each set and every possible non-empty intersection of sets is contractible.

We construct such covers by appealing to the generalized Rokhlin lemma by Ornstein-Weiss which we recall first.

A *monotile* T in a group Λ is a finite subset for which there is a subset C such that $\{T \cdot c \mid c \in C\}$ is a partition of Λ. For finite subsets $F, T \subset \Lambda$ we say that T is (F,δ)-*invariant*, if the F-*boundary* $\partial T = \{\lambda \in T \mid \exists_{\gamma \in F}\ \gamma\lambda \notin T\}$ satisfies

$$|\partial T|/|T| < \delta.$$

Weiss showed that residually finite amenable groups possess (F,δ)-invariant monotiles for arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$ [26]. The relevance of monotiles stems from the following result [19].

Theorem 5.1 (Ornstein-Weiss)**.** *Let T be a monotile in an amenable group Λ. Let Λ act freely and ν-preservingly on a standard probability space (Y,ν). For every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a measurable subset $A \subset Y$ satisfying:*

(1) For $\lambda, \lambda' \in T$ with $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ the sets λA and $\lambda' A$ are disjoint.
(2) $\nu\big(\bigcup_{\lambda \in T} \lambda A\big) > 1 - \epsilon$.

We may assume that the elements $U_i \subset M$ of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1, \dots, U_m\}$ are connected. By taking the connected components we possibly increase m but we do not increase the multiplicity. Define

$$\Lambda_i := \mathrm{im}\big(\pi_1(U_i) \to \pi_1(M)\big) \subset \Gamma.$$

This involves the choice of a base point in each U_i and paths from them to the base point of M which is not relevant for our discussion. By assumption, each Λ_i is amenable. Since Γ is residually finite, each Λ_i is residually finite. Let $\bar{U}_i$ be the regular covering of U_i associated to the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi_1(U_i) \to \pi_1(M)$. Let $\mathrm{pr} : \widetilde{M} \to M$ denote the universal covering projection. The group Λ_i acts on $\bar{U}_i$ by deck transformations. By covering theory we may and will choose a lift of the map $\bar{U}_i \to U_i \to M$ to $\widetilde{M}$. This lift yields a homeomorphism

$$\Gamma \times_{\Lambda_i} \bar{U}_i \cong \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(U_i)$$

of coverings of U_i which allows us to regard $\bar{U}_i$ as a subset of $\widetilde{M}$. We choose an open, relatively compact subset $K_i \subset \bar{U}_i$ such that $\bar{U}_i = \Lambda_i \cdot K_i$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Properness of the Γ-action allows us to fix a finite subset $F \subset \Gamma$ with the property

$$\gamma K_r \cap K_s \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \gamma \in F \tag{5.2}$$

for all $r, s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Our following construction of Γ-equivariant measurable covers depends on a parameter $\delta > 0$ (and on many other choices but they are less relevant). Later in the proof we consider a sequence of Γ-equivariant measurable covers for $\delta \to 0$.

Let us fix a parameter $\delta > 0$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we choose a monotile $T_i \subset \Lambda_i$ of the residually finite amenable group Λ_i that is $(F \cap \Lambda_i, \delta)$-*invariant*. Since each $T_i^{-1} K_i \cup K_i$ is relatively compact, there is a finite subset $E \subset \Gamma$ with the property

$$\big(T_r^{-1} K_r \cup K_r\big) \cap \gamma\big(T_s^{-1} K_s \cup K_s\big) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \gamma \in E \tag{5.3}$$

for all $r, s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Set

$$\epsilon := 2^{-n} |E|^{-n-1} m^{-1} \delta. \tag{5.4}$$

By Theorem 5.1 there are measurable subsets $A_i \subset X$ such that, for fixed i, the sets λA_i, $\lambda \in T_i$, are disjoint and $R_i = X \backslash T_i A_i$ has μ-measure at most ϵ.

Definition 5.2.

$$\mathcal{W}_\delta := \big\{\gamma A_i \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\big\}$$
$$\mathcal{V}_\delta := \big\{\gamma A_i \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\big\} \cup \big\{\gamma R_i \times \gamma K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\big\}$$
$$\mathcal{W}_\delta^0 := \big\{A_i \times T_i^{-1} K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}\big\}$$
$$\mathcal{V}_\delta^0 := \big\{A_i \times T_i^{-1} K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}\big\} \cup \big\{R_i \times K_i \mid i \in \{1,\dots,m\}\big\}$$

The subsets $\mathcal{V}_\delta^0$ and $\mathcal{W}_\delta^0$ are Γ-transversals of $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ and $\mathcal{W}_\delta$, respectively. Keeping in mind that $\Gamma K_i = \widetilde{M}$ one immediately verifies:

Lemma 5.3. *$\mathcal{V}_\delta$ is a Γ-equivariant measurable cover.*

Remark 5.4. In [21] we proved that the ℓ^2-Betti numbers of M vanish under the assumptions of Theorem 1.8; this turns out be a corollary of Theorem 1.8 provided the fundamental group is residually finite (cf. Remark 1.11). In [21] we use a similar construction of measurable covers but we do not use the topological structure on X and the methods therein are not suited for the consideration of mod p or torsion homology.

5.3. **Managing expectations.** A j-tuple whose entries lie in a set Y and are pairwise distinct is called a *j-configuration* in Y. Let $(s_1,\dots,s_j) \in \{1,\dots,m\}^j$. A j-configuration in $\mathcal{V}^\delta$ has *type* $(s_1,\dots,s_j)$ if its l-th entry is $\gamma A_{s_l} \times \gamma T_{s_l}^{-1} K_{s_l}$ or $\gamma R_{s_l} \times \gamma K_{s_l}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A j-configuration in $\mathcal{W}^\delta$ has *type* $(s_1,\dots,s_j)$ if its l-th entry is $\gamma A_{s_l} \times \gamma T_{s_l}^{-1} K_{s_l}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

In the sequel we use some probabilistic terminology which we find convenient but could be avoided.

Definition 5.5. Let $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j) : X \to \mathbb{N}$ be the random variable whose value at $x \in X$ is the number of j-configurations in $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ with the property that the common intersection of all sets in the configuration with the set $\{x\} \times \widetilde{M}$ is non-empty and the first set of the configuration is from $\mathcal{V}_\delta^0$. Correspondingly, $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j) : X \to \mathbb{N}$ is defined. For $(s_1,\dots,s_j) \in \{1,\dots,m\}^j$ the random variables $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j)$ and $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j)$ are similarly defined but only j-configurations of type $(s_1,\dots,s_j)$ are counted.

Lemma 5.6. *For every type $(s_1,\dots,s_j)$, $2 \le j \le n$, the expected values satisfy*

$$\mathbb{E}\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) \le \mathbb{E}\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) + \delta.$$

Proof. A crude estimate yields that $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) \le (2|E|)^j$, where $E \subset \Gamma$ is the subset from (5.3). The support of $\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) - \operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j)$ is a subset of $E \cdot (R_1 \cup \ldots \cup R_m)$. Hence the difference of the corresponding expected values is at most

$$\mu\big(E \cdot (R_1 \cup \ldots \cup R_m)\big)(2|E|)^j \le 2^j |E|^{j+1} m\epsilon \underset{(5.4)}{\le} \delta. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 5.7. *Let $j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ be greater than the multiplicity of $\mathcal{U}$. For every type $(s_1,\dots,s_j)$ we have*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0} \mathbb{E}\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) = \lim_{\delta\to 0} \mathbb{E}\operatorname{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1,\dots,s_j) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.6 it suffices to show the second equality. The multiplicity of $\mathcal{U} = \{U_1,\dots,U_m\}$ is the same as the one of $\{\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(U_1),\dots,\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(U_m)\}$. Since $\Gamma K_i = \mathrm{pr}^{-1}(U_i)$,

$\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1, \dots, s_j)$ is constant zero or the type $(s_1, \dots, s_j)$ has two identical components. For the remaining proof we thus may assume that $s_1 = s_2$.

Fix $\delta > 0$. Let $C_l(x)$ be the l-configurations that contribute to $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(l; s_1, \dots, s_l)(x)$ for $l \leq j$ and $x \in X$, so that $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(l; s_1, \dots, s_l)(x) = |C_l(x)|$. Note that the first set of any l-configuration in $C_l(x)$ is $A_{s_1} \times T_{s_1}^{-1} K_{s_1}$. For $\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}$ we define $C_l^\lambda(x)$ as the subset of $C_l(x)$ that consists of l-configurations with the additional property that the common intersection of all sets of the configuration with $A_{s_1} \times \lambda K_{s_1}$ is non-empty. Obviously, the union of all $C_l^\lambda(x)$ is $C_l(x)$ but it is not necessarily a disjoint union. At least we get that

$$\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(l; s_1, \dots, s_l)(x) = |C_l(x)| \leq \sum_{\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}} |C_l^\lambda(x)|.$$

Let $3 \leq l \leq j$ and $\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}$. Let us take a look at a fiber of the projection $C_l^\lambda(x) \to C_{l-1}^\lambda(x)$ that drops the last set of a configuration. The cardinality of any fiber is bounded by the number of elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $x \in \gamma A_{s_l}$ and $\lambda K_{s_1} \cap \gamma T_{s_l}^{-1} K_{s_l} \neq \emptyset$. By (5.2) the latter implies that $\gamma \in \lambda F T_{s_l}$. For every $\xi \in F$ the sets $\lambda \xi \mu$, where μ runs through T_{s_l}, are pairwise disjoint, so the given x can only be in one of them. Thus any fiber has at most $|F|$ elements. We obtain inductively that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}} |C_j^\lambda(x)| \leq |F|^{j-2} \sum_{\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}} |C_2^\lambda(x)|.$$

Let $s := s_1 = s_2$. Every 2-configuration in one of the sets $C_2^\lambda(x)$ has type (s, s). Define

$$f(x) := |\big\{(\lambda, \mu, \gamma) \in T_s^{-1} \times T_s^{-1} \times \Gamma \backslash \{e\} \mid x \in A_s \cap \gamma A_s \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda K_s \cap \gamma \mu K_s \neq \emptyset\big\}|.$$

For every $x \in X$ we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in T_{s_1}^{-1}} |C_2^\lambda(x)| \leq f(x).$$

Hence $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1, \dots, s_j)$ is dominated by $|F|^{j-2} f$ and so

$$\mathbb{E}\, \mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_\delta}(j; s_1, \dots, s_j) \leq |F|^{j-2}\, \mathbb{E}\, f. \tag{5.5}$$

We shall now use for the first time that the monotiles T_i are $(F \cap \Lambda_i, \delta)$-invariant. Let (λ, μ, γ) be a triple such that $\lambda K_s \cap \gamma \mu K_s \neq \emptyset$. By (5.2) there is $\rho \in F$ with $\gamma = \lambda \rho \mu^{-1}$. Suppose that $\mu^{-1} \notin \partial T_s$. Then $\rho \mu^{-1} \in T_s$. Because of $\gamma \neq e$ one has $\rho \mu^{-1} \neq \lambda^{-1}$, which yields $\lambda^{-1} A_s \cap \rho \mu^{-1} A_s = \emptyset$, thus $A_s \cap \gamma A_s = \emptyset$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\, f \leq \sum_{(\lambda, \mu, \rho)} \mu\big(A_s \cap \lambda \rho \mu^{-1} A_s\big) = \sum_{(\lambda, \mu, \rho)} \mu\big(\lambda^{-1} A_s \cap \rho \mu^{-1} A_s\big).$$

where the summation runs over all triples in $T_s^{-1} \times (\partial T_s)^{-1} \times F$. Since the sets $\lambda^{-1} A_s$, where λ runs through T_s^{-1}, are disjoint, we obtain that $\mu(A_s) \leq 1/|T_s|$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\, f \leq |F||\partial T_s| \mu(A_s) \leq |F||\partial T_s|/|T_s| \leq |F|\delta.$$

With (5.5) the proof is completed. □

Theorem 5.8. *If j is greater than the multiplicity of $\mathcal{U}$, then* $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{E}\, \mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j) = 0$.

Proof. The random variable $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j)$ is the sum of the random variables $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(j; s_1, \dots, s_j)$, where $(s_1, \dots, s_j)$ runs through all m^j possible types. Thus the statement follows from Lemma 5.7. □

5.4. **Back to open covers.** Our next goal is to show that we can replace the measurable sets A_i and R_i in the definition of $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ by cylindrical (in particular, open) sets without loosing the property stated in Theorem 5.8.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $\delta > 0$, and let $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ be the measurable cover in Definition 5.2. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there are cylindrical subsets A_i^c and R_i^c of X for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that*

(1) $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c := \{\gamma A_i^c \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i |\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\} \cup \{\gamma R_i^c \times \gamma K_i |\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is a Γ-equivariant measurable cover.

(2) $\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(k) < \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(k) + \epsilon$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$. By regularity of μ there are open subsets $A_i^o \supset A_i$ and $R_i^o \supset R_i$ in X for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\mu(A_i^o \triangle A_i) < \epsilon_0$ and $\mu(R_i^o \triangle R_i) < \epsilon_0$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we can write A_i^o and R_i^o as increasing unions of cylindrical subsets:

$$A_i^o = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} A_i^{(q)} \qquad R_i^o = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} R_i^{(q)}$$

Let $\mathrm{proj} : X \times \widetilde{M} \to X \times_\Gamma \widetilde{M}$ be the quotient map for the diagonal action. Let

$$S_q := \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left(\gamma A_i^{(q)} \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i \ \cup \gamma R_i^{(q)} \times \gamma K_i\right).$$

Since the sets in $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ cover $X \times \widetilde{M}$, we have the following increasing unions:

$$X \times \widetilde{M} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} S_q \qquad X \times_\Gamma \widetilde{M} = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{proj}(S_q)$$

The orbit space $X \times_\Gamma \widetilde{M}$ is compact since M and X are compact. Further, proj is an open map. By compactness there is $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X \times_\Gamma \widetilde{M} = \mathrm{proj}(S_q)$ for every $q \geq q_0$. Hence $X \times \widetilde{M} = S_q$ for $q \geq q_0$ and

$$\mathcal{W}_q := \{\gamma A_i^{(q)} \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i |\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\} \cup \{\gamma R_i^{(q)} \times \gamma K_i |\ i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$$

is a Γ-equivariant measurable cover for $q \geq q_0$. We pick $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small and $q \geq q_0$ sufficiently large so that

$$\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{W}_q}(k) < \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(k) + \epsilon$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. This is possible by the dominated convergence theorem. Now set $A_i^c := A_i^{(q)}$ and $R_i^c := R_i^{(q)}$. □

5.5. **A correspondence principle.** There is a Furstenberg-type correspondence principle between Γ-equivariant measurable covers on $X \times \widetilde{M}$ and sequences of Γ-equivariant open covers on $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$, indexed by $q \in \mathbb{N}$, that are compatible with respect to the natural projections $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M} \to \Gamma/\Gamma_{q-1} \times \widetilde{M}$. Let us just describe one direction of this correspondence:

For every set $A \times U \subset X \times \widetilde{M}$ in a given Γ-equivariant measurable cover one produces the sets $\pi_q(x) \times U \subset \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$, $x \in A$. Doing this for all sets in the Γ-equivariant measurable cover results in a Γ-equivariant open cover of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$. One can define similar random variables as in Definition 5.5 for arbitrary Γ-equivariant measurable covers on $X \times \widetilde{M}$ and Γ-equivariant open covers of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$. The expectation of the random variable for a Γ-equivariant measurable cover is the limit of the expectations of the random variables of the covers in the corresponding sequence. If the first factors of all the sets in a Γ-equivariant

measurable cover are cylindrical, then the corresponding sequence of expectations stabilizes starting from the maximal level of these sets.

Instead of working out such a full-fledged correspondence principle, we restrict to what we need in our situation.

Let us fix $\delta > 0$. By Lemma 5.9 one can replace the sets A_i and R_i in $\mathcal{V}_\delta$ by cylindrical sets A_i^c and R_i^c such that

$$\mathcal{V}_\delta^c = \big\{\gamma A_i^c \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i |\ i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\big\} \cup \big\{\gamma R_i^c \times \gamma K_i |\ i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma\big\}$$

is a Γ-equivariant measurable cover with

$$\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(n+1) < 2 \cdot \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(n+1). \tag{5.6}$$

From $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c$ we obtain the Γ-equivariant open cover of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_\delta(q) := \big\{\{\pi_q(\gamma x)\} \times \gamma T_i^{-1} K_i |\ i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in A_i^c\big\} \cup \\ \big\{\{\pi_q(\gamma x)\} \times \gamma K_i |\ i \in \{1,\dots,m\}, \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in R_i^c\big\}\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

We define the function $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j) : \Gamma/\Gamma_q \to \mathbb{N}$ similarly as $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(j)$. The value $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j)(y)$ at $y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_q$ is the number of j-configurations in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ with the property that the common intersection of all sets in the configuration with $\{y\} \times \widetilde{M}$ is non-empty and the first set of the configuration is $\{y\} \times T_i^{-1} K_i$ or $\{y\} \times K_i$ for some $i \in \{1,\dots,m\}$. We regard this function as a random variable on Γ/Γ_i endowed with the equidistributed probability measure. Let

$$q_0 := \max\{l(A_i^c), l(R_i^c) \mid i = 1,\dots,m)\} \tag{5.8}$$

be the maximal level of the cylindrical sets appearing in $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c$.

Lemma 5.10. *For every $q \geq q_0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ one has $\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(j) = \mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j)$.*

Proof. For $x \in X$ and $y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_q$ let $C_j(x) \subset (\mathcal{V}_\delta^c)^j$ and $\tilde{C}_j(y) \subset \mathcal{V}_\delta(q)^j$ be the sets of j-configurations that contribute to $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(j)(x)$ and $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j)(y)$, respectively. So, $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(j)(x) = |C(x)|$ and $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j)(y) = |\tilde{C}(y)|$.

The map $\phi(x) : C_j(x) \to \tilde{C}_j(\pi_q(x)$ is defined component-wise: a j-configuration whose i-th set is $A \times U$ (thus $x \in A$) is sent to the j-configuration whose i-th set is $\{\pi_q(x)\} \times U$. Injectivity of $\phi(x)$ is clear. Surjectivity is implied by the equivalences (cf. (5.1))

$$\pi_q(x) \in \pi_q(A_i^c) \Leftrightarrow x \in A_i^c \ \text{ and } \ \pi_q(x) \in \pi_q(R_i^c) \Leftrightarrow x \in R_i^c$$

provided q is at least the level of A_i^c and R_i^c. Hence $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta^c}(j)(x) = \mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(j)(\pi_q(x))$ for every $x \in X$. That the pushforward of the measure μ under the map π_q is the equidistributed probability measure on Γ/Γ_q finishes the proof. □

5.6. **Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.8.** The reader is referred to [14, Chapter 2.1.] for a discussion of the upcoming notion of Δ-*complex*. Its historical name is *semi-simplicial complex*. In brief, a Δ-complex is like a simplicial complex where one drops the requirement that a simplex is uniquely determined by its vertices. A 1-simplex in a Δ-complex, for instance, might be a loop.

Lemma 5.11. *For every $\delta > 0$ there is $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For every $q \geq q_0$ there is a Δ-complex $S(q)$ such that*

(1) $S(q)$ has at most $2[\Gamma : \Gamma_q]\,\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(n+1)$ many n-simplices,

(2) and there is a homotopy retract

$$\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M} \underset{g}{\overset{f}{\rightleftarrows}} S(q) \quad \textit{with } g\circ f \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}}\,.$$

Proof of Lemma 5.11. Let $\delta > 0$. We consider the Γ-equivariant measurable cover $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c$ from the previous subsection which we obtained by an application of Lemma 5.9 to $\mathcal{V}_\delta$. It satisfies (5.6). Let q_0 be defined as in (5.8), as the maximal level of cylindrical subsets occuring in $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c$. For every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain a Γ-equivariant cover $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$ from $\mathcal{V}_\delta^c$ via the correspondence principle (see (5.7)). By (5.6) and Lemma 5.10 we have

$$\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(n+1) < 2\,\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(n+1) \quad \text{for } q \geq q_0. \tag{5.9}$$

Let $q \geq q_0$, and let $N(q)$ be the nerve of $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$. Recall that the nerve of a cover is the simplicial complex whose vertices correspond to the subsets of the cover such that $(k+1)$ subsets span a k-simplex if they have a non-empty intersection. The Γ-action on $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ induces a simplicial Γ-action on $N(q)$. Since the sets in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ are relatively compact, the Γ-action on $\widetilde{M}$ is proper and Γ is torsion-free, the Γ-action on $N(q)$ is free. Moreover, if a simplex is invariant under some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ as a set, then $\gamma = e$. Thus $N(q)$ is a free Γ-CW-complex [23, Proposition (1.15) on p. 101]. A free Γ-CW-complex is a Hausdorff space with a Γ-action that is built inductively by attaching equivariant cells $\Gamma \times D^i$ via equivariant attaching maps (see [23, p. 98]). This will enable us below to construct equivariant maps with domain $N(q)$ by induction over skeleta. Let

$$S(q) := \Gamma\backslash N(q).$$

Whilst Γ acts simplicially on the simplicial complex $N(q)$, the simplicial structure of $N(q)$ does not necessarily induce a simplicial structure on $S(q)$. For instance, one might have a 1-simplex between a vertex v and a vertex γv for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ which yields a loop in the quotient $S(q)$. But the simplicial structure on $N(q)$ induces the structure of a Δ-complex on $S(q)$. The number of (ordered) n-simplices of $S(q)$ is is the number of $(n+1)$-configurations of sets in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ such that their intersection is non-empty and the first set of the configuration lies in a Γ-transversal of $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$, say, in

$$\{\{\pi_q(x)\} \times T_i^{-1}K_i \mid x \in A_i^c, i \in \{1,\dots,m\}\} \cup \{\{\pi_q(x)\} \times K_i \mid x \in R_i^c, i \in \{1,\dots,m\}\}.$$

On the other hand, $\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(n+1)(y)$ with $y \in \Gamma/\Gamma_q$ is the number of $(n+1)$-configurations of sets in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ such that their intersection is non-empty and lies in the component $\{y\} \times \widetilde{M}$ and the first set of the configuration lies in the above Γ-transversal. The probability measure on Γ/Γ_q is the normalized counting measure. Hence the number of n-simplices in $S(q)$ is bounded by $[\Gamma : \Gamma_q]\,\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)}(n+1)$ and with (5.9) the first statement follows.

Finally, we construct equivariant maps $\tilde{f} : \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M} \to N(q)$ and $\tilde{g} : N(q) \to \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$ and an equivariant homotopy $\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f} \simeq \mathrm{id}$. The maps f and g and the homotopy $g \circ f \simeq \mathrm{id}$ in the statement of the lemma will be the induced maps on orbit spaces. This will finish the proof, since

$$\Gamma\backslash\big(\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}\big) \cong \Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}.$$

By choosing an equivariant partition of unity subordinate to $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ one obtains an equivariant map $\tilde{f} : \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M} \to N(q)$, called nerve map. Each set V in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ has a smaller, open

subset which is sent under $\tilde{f}$ to the vertex associated to V. See [5, p. 133] for a construction of the nerve map.

We construct the map $\tilde{g}$ by an induction over the skeleta $N(q)$. To this end, one chooses for every set V in $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ a point $m_V \in V$ in an equivariant way. We define $\tilde{g}$ on the 0-skeleton by mapping the vertex associated to V to m_V. The i-skeleton $N(q)^{(i)}$ of $N(q)$, $i \geq 1$, is built from the $(i-1)$-skeleton by attaching equivariant i-cells $\Gamma \times D^i$ along equivariant attaching maps from $\Gamma \times S^{i-1}$ to the $(i-1)$-skeleton. Firstly, let $i = 1$. If two subsets of $\mathcal{V}_\delta(q)$ intersect, they lie in the same path component of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$. Thus, if $\phi : \Gamma \times S^0 \to N(q)$ is the attaching map of an equivariant 1-cell, then

$$\{e\} \times S^0 \xrightarrow{\phi|_{\{e\}\times S^0}} N(q)^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$$

can be extended to $\{e\} \times D^1$, and the latter has a unique equivariant extension to $\Gamma \times D^1$. Next let $i \geq 2$. Since the homotopy group $\pi_{i-1}(\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M})$ vanishes with respect to arbitrary base points, any composition

$$\{e\} \times S^{i-1} \to N(q)^{(i-1)} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$$

can be extended to $\{e\} \times D^i$, and, as before, the latter has a unique equivariant extension to $\Gamma \times D^i$.

Similarly, the equivariant homotopy between $\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{f}$ and id is constructed by an induction over the skeleta using that $f \circ g(z)$ and z lie in the same path component for every $z \in \Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$ and the vanishing of homotopy groups of $\Gamma/\Gamma_q \times \widetilde{M}$ in degrees ≥ 1. □

End of the proof of Theorem 1.8. According to Subsection 2.2 we may and will assume that M is oriented. Let $\epsilon > 0$. By Theorem 5.8 there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\,\mathrm{mult}^{\mathcal{V}_\delta}(n+1) < \epsilon/2.$$

For this δ we take q_0 and f, g and $S(q)$ as in the preceding lemma. For $q \geq q_0$, the number of n-simplices of $S(q)$ is at most $[\Gamma : \Gamma_q]\epsilon$. By the isomorphism between simplicial and singular homology for Δ-complexes [14, Theorem 2.27 on p. 128] the homology class $H_n(f)([\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}])$ has a representative that is a integral linear combination of at most $[\Gamma : \Gamma_q]\epsilon$-many singular n-simplices. Hence the fundamental class

$$[\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}] = H_n(g) \circ H_n(f)([\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}])$$

of $\Gamma_q\backslash\widetilde{M}$ can also be written as an integral linear combination of at most $[\Gamma : \Gamma_q]\epsilon$-many singular n-simplices. Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, Theorem 1.8 finally follows from Theorems 3.3 and 3.7. □

References

[1] M. Abért and N. Nikolov, *Rank gradient, cost of groups and the rank versus Heegaard genus problem*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **14** (2012), no. 5, 1657–1677.

[2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov, and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 61, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.

[3] N. Bergeron and A. Venkatesh, *The asymptotic growth of torsion homology for arithmetic groups*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **12** (2013), no. 2, 391–447.

[4] N. Bergeron, M. Haluk Sengun, and A. Venkatesh, *Torsion homology growth and cycle complexity of arithmetic manifolds* (2014), available at `arXiv:1401.6989`.

[5] G. E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46.

[6] F. Calegari and A. Venkatesh, *A torsion Jaquet-Langlands correspondence*, Academic Press, New York, 1972. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46.
[7] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1981. With applications to finite groups and orders; Pure and Applied Mathematics; A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
[8] V. Emery, *Torsion homology of arithmetic lattices and K2 of imaginary fields* (2013). arXiv: 1303.6132.
[9] T. Gelander, *Homotopy type and volume of locally symmetric manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 3, 459–515.
[10] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA. Based on the 1981 French original; With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes; Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.
[11] M. Gromov, *Volume and bounded cohomology*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **56** (1982), 5–99 (1983).
[12] ———, *Large Riemannian manifolds*, Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds (Katata, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1201, Springer, Berlin, 1986, pp. 108–121.
[13] L. Guth, *Volumes of balls in large Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 1, 51–76.
[14] A. Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
[15] M. Lackenby, *Large groups, property* (τ) *and the homology growth of subgroups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **146** (2009), no. 3, 625–648.
[16] ———, *Detecting large groups*, J. Algebra **324** (2010), no. 10, 2636–2657.
[17] W. Lück, *Approximating* L^2*-invariants and homology growth*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 2, 622–663.
[18] W. Lück, L^2*-invariants: theory and applications to geometry and* K*-theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge., vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
[19] D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I. The Rohlin lemma*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **2** (1980), no. 1, 161–164.
[20] I. Samet, *Betti numbers of finite volume orbifolds*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 2, 1113–1147.
[21] R. Sauer, *Amenable covers, volume and* L^2*-Betti numbers of aspherical manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **636** (2009), 47–92.
[22] C. Soulé, *Perfect forms and the Vandiver conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **517** (1999), 209–221.
[23] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
[24] C. T. C. Wall, *Surgery on compact manifolds*, 2nd ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 69, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Ranicki.
[25] C. A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
[26] B. Weiss, *Monotileable amenable groups*, Topology, ergodic theory, real algebraic geometry, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, vol. 202, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001, pp. 257–262.

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany
E-mail address: roman.sauer@kit.edu